

Comment Letter I8

SOITEC SOLAR DEVELOPMENT
PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT


3800 12-010 (GPA); Tierra Del Sol, 3300 12-010 (MUP), 3800 12-005 (REZ),
3921 77-048-01 (AP); Rugged Solar, 3300 12-007 (MUP);
ENVIRONMENTAL LOG NO.: 3910 120005 (ER)

PUBLIC REVIEW PERIOD
January 2, 2014 through February 17, 2014

PUBLIC REVIEW COMMENT SHEET

COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES
5510 OVERLAND AVENUE, SUITE 110
SAN DIEGO, CA 92123

WRITTEN COMMENT FORM



A1-1

This project would be highly adverse to residents, wildlife and environment around Boulevard. The impact on the limited water supply would be potentially disastrous to the area communities. The high winds, fog and fire danger in this area renders it a very poor choice as a construction site. Soil erosion, glare, noise and traffic is not needed in this

(Attach additional pages as needed)

area. Recommend the project be moved to a better location perhaps east on BLVD road.

MAIL, FAX or E-MAIL FORMS TO:

Robert Hingtgen
County of San Diego
Department of Planning & Development Services
5510 Overland Avenue, Suite 110
San Diego, CA 92123
FAX #: (619) 694-2485
e-mail: robert.hingtgen@sdcounty.ca.gov

COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED BY 4:00 PM, FEBRUARY 17, 2014

Z00/T00

FAX 05:21 PM 02/18/14

Response to Comment Letter I8

Rex Werking
January 20, 2014

I8-1

The County of San Diego (County) agrees that the Proposed Project has the potential for substantial adverse impacts affecting residents, wildlife, and the environment. These issues are discussed throughout the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report (DPEIR).

I8-2

The County has found that the Proposed Project would have a less than significant effect on water supply. These issues were discussed in Sections 3.1.9.3 (Water) and 3.1.5.3.4 (Groundwater Resources) of the DPEIR. See also common response WR1.

I8-3

The County has found that the Proposed Project would have a less than significant effect related to hazards, including fire danger (DPEIR Section 3.1.4.). The commenter's reference to high winds and fog are vague, such that a specific response cannot be provided.

Regarding soil erosion, glare, noise and traffic, the County has considered and appreciates this comment. This commenter did not identify an issue with the environment related to these subject areas. The analysis in the DPEIR would not require further evaluation based on this comment. Soil erosion, glare, noise and traffic were considered and addressed in the DPEIR. Please see Sections 3.1.5, 2.1, 2.6 and 3.1.8.

	<p>I8-4 The commenter's preference for an alternative location for the Proposed Project is acknowledged and will be included in the Final Program Environmental Impact Report for review and consideration by the decision makers. Please refer to Common Response ALT1.</p>
--	--